# Volume 7, Issue 3, March 2019 International Journal of Advance Research in Computer Science and Management Studies

Research Article / Survey Paper / Case Study Available online at: www.ijarcsms.com

An Investigation of the impact of Digital Literacy on Saving Behavior of Banking Customers

> Sushil Kumar Reseach Scholar, IMSAR MDU, Rohtak (Haryana), India DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.61161/ijarcsms.v7i3.2</u>

Abstract: This study examines the impact of Digital Literacy on Saving Behavior of Banking Customers in four districts of Haryana, India, through a mixed-methods research approach. Drawing from a sample of 450 respondents, the research explores the impact of various psychological and financial variables on individuals' saving habits. The findings reveal significant insights into the role of awareness of positive financial attitudes, decision-making, digital financial services, practical knowledge, and awareness of financial risks in shaping saving behavior. The study contributes to a deeper understanding of financial behaviors in diverse socio-economic contexts and provides valuable implications for policymakers, financial institutions, and educators aiming to promote responsible saving habits and enhance financial literacy.

Keywords: Saving behavior, financial inclusion, financial literacy.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Financial inclusion and financial literacy are two intertwined pillars of modern economic development, serving as catalysts for inclusive growth, poverty reduction, and sustainable development. As societies strive to ensure equal access to financial services and empower individuals with the knowledge and skills to navigate complex financial landscapes, understanding the dynamic relationship between financial inclusion and financial literacy becomes paramount. The significance of financial inclusion lies in its ability to extend the reach of formal financial services to previously underserved populations, thereby unlocking economic opportunities and fostering social inclusion (Demirgüç-Kunt & Klapper, 2012). Concurrently, financial literacy equips individuals with the competencies to make informed financial decisions, manage risks, and plan for the future, amplifying the benefits of financial inclusion (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2014). Together, they form the bedrock of inclusive financial systems, promoting resilience, stability, and prosperity. Drawing from a wealth of empirical evidence, this paper explores the determinants shaping financial inclusion and financial literacy. Socioeconomic factors such as income level, educational attainment, and geographic location influence individuals' access to financial services and their proficiency in financial matters (Beck & Cull, 2014). Moreover, institutional factors, technological advancements, and regulatory frameworks play pivotal roles in shaping the landscape of financial inclusion and literacy. The impacts of financial inclusion and financial literacy reverberate across multiple dimensions of society. At the individual level, access to formal financial services empowers individuals to build assets, smooth consumption, and weather financial shocks (Demirgüç-Kunt & Klapper, 2012). Similarly, enhanced financial literacy translates into improved financial decision-making, increased savings, and reduced vulnerability to predatory financial practices (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2014). Collectively, these micro-level impacts cascade into macroeconomic benefits, driving economic growth, reducing inequality, and fostering financial stability (World Bank, 2014; Cole et al., 2019). However, realizing the full potential of financial inclusion and financial literacy is not without its challenges. Persistent barriers such as limited access to banking infrastructure, low levels of financial literacy, and cultural impediments hinder progress towards inclusive financial systems (Honohan, 2008). Bridging these gaps requires concerted efforts from policymakers, financial institutions, educators, and civil society to design holistic strategies that address the multifaceted dimensions of financial inclusion and literacy.

# **II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

Financial inclusion and financial literacy are interconnected concepts crucial for promoting economic empowerment and social development. Financial inclusion aims to ensure access to affordable financial services for all individuals, while financial literacy focuses on enhancing individuals' knowledge and skills to make informed financial decisions. Financial inclusion and financial literacy are essential components of inclusive growth and poverty alleviation strategies. Access to financial services empowers individuals to manage risks, invest in education and healthcare, and build assets, thus contributing to poverty reduction and economic development (Demirgüc-Kunt & Klapper, 2012). Financial literacy complements financial inclusion by equipping individuals with the knowledge and skills needed to effectively utilize financial products and services, thereby enhancing their financial well-being and resilience (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2014). Several factors influence financial inclusion and financial literacy, including socioeconomic characteristics, educational attainment, access to infrastructure, and regulatory environment (Demirgüç-Kunt & Klapper, 2012; Lusardi & Mitchell, 2014). Income level, gender, age, and geographic location play significant roles in determining individuals' access to financial services and their level of financial literacy. Moreover, the availability of tailored financial education programs and consumer protection policies influences individuals' financial knowledge and behaviors (OECD/INFE, 2016). Research indicates numerous positive impacts of financial inclusion and financial literacy on individuals, households, and economies. Access to formal financial services enables households to smooth consumption, invest in human capital, and cope with financial emergencies (Demirgüç-Kunt & Klapper, 2012). Similarly, improved financial literacy is associated with better financial decision-making, increased savings, and reduced debt levels (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2014). Furthermore, enhanced financial inclusion and literacy contribute to financial stability, economic growth, and reduced inequality at the macroeconomic level (World Bank, 2014). Despite their benefits, achieving widespread financial inclusion and financial literacy remains a challenge. Barriers such as limited access to banking infrastructure, low levels of financial literacy, and inadequate consumer protection hinder individuals' participation in the formal financial system (Honohan, 2008; Lusardi & Mitchell, 2011). Additionally, cultural and behavioral factors, as well as digital divides, pose obstacles to promoting financial inclusion and improving financial literacy, particularly among marginalized populations (Beck & Cull, 2014; Cole et al., 2019).

#### III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design that combines descriptive and causal elements to investigate financial behaviors and perceptions among residents in four districts of Haryana, India. The population of interest comprises residents of Rohtak, Sonipat, Hisar, and Panipat districts in Haryana. A stratified random sampling method is employed to ensure proportional representation from each district based on demographic factors such as age, gender, and income. A total of 450 respondents are selected, with a proportionate allocation from each district to ensure adequate representation and statistical power. A structured questionnaire is designed to collect both quantitative and qualitative data on financial behaviors, perceptions, and socio-economic characteristics.

# **IV. RESULTS AND FINDINGS**

The results and findings of this study provide a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing saving behavior among respondents in Haryana, India. Through an in-depth analysis of the regression model, we uncover significant insights into the impact of various psychological and financial variables on individuals' saving habits. By examining the coefficients and significance levels of predictors such as awareness of positive financial attitudes, decision-making skills, awareness of digital financial services, practical knowledge, and awareness of financial risks, this research elucidates the complex dynamics driving saving behavior in diverse socio-economic contexts.

Table 1: Model Summary							
Model Summary							
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate			
1	.523 <sup>a</sup>	.244	.245	.542			
a. Predictors: (Constant), Awareness of Positive Financial Attitude, Decision-Making, Awareness of Digital							
Financial Services, Practical Knowledge and Awareness of financial risks							

The regression model predicting saving behavior based on awareness of positive financial attitude, decision-making, awareness of digital financial services, practical knowledge, and awareness of financial risks. The model's R-square value of 0.244 indicates that approximately 24.4% of the variance in saving behavior can be explained by the predictors included in the model.

## Table 2: ANOVA

ANOVA <sup>a</sup>								
Model		Sum of df		Mean	F	Sig.		
		Squares		Square				
1	Regression	51.897	6	8.649	29.353	.000 <sup>b</sup>		
	Residual	130.537	443	.295				
	Total	182.433	449					
a. Dep	endent Variable: Savi	ng Behaviour						
b. Pred	lictors: (Constant), Av	vareness of Posi	tive Financial A	ttitude, Decision-	Making, Awaren	ess of Digital		
Financ	ial Services, Practical	Knowledge and	Awareness of f	inancial risks		-		

This table displays the analysis of variance (ANOVA) results for the regression model predicting saving behavior. The Fstatistic of 29.353 with a corresponding p-value of .000 indicates that the overall regression model is statistically significant. The statistically significant F-value suggests that at least one of the predictor variables in the model significantly contributes to explaining the variance in saving behavior.

		Coef	fficients <sup>a</sup>			
Model		Unstandardize	d Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		В	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	1.770	.113		15.659	.000
	Awareness of Positive Financial Attitude	.138	.030	.225	4.637	.000
	Decision-Making	.073	.039	.113	1.862	.043
	Awareness of Digital Financial Services	.017	.034	.026	.514	.607
	Practical Knowledge	.068	.037	.098	1.839	.047
	Awareness of financial risks	.079	.037	.135	2.139	.033

Table 3. Coefficients of multiple regressions

a. Dependent Variable: Saving behavior

H01: Awareness of Positive Financial Attitude has no significant impact on saving behavior of respondents

H1: Awareness of Positive Financial Attitude has a significant impact on saving behavior of respondents

Awareness of Positive Financial Attitude: The coefficient of .138 with a p-value of .000 indicates that awareness of positive financial attitude has a statistically significant positive effect on saving behavior. Thus, individuals with a greater awareness of positive financial attitudes tend to exhibit higher saving behavior.

H02: Decision-Making has no significant impact on saving behavior of respondents

H2: Decision-Making has a significant impact on saving behavior of respondents

Decision-Making: The coefficient for decision-making is 0.073, with a standard error of 0.039 and a t-value of 1.862. The associated p-value is 0.043, which is less than 0.05. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis (H04), suggesting that decision-making significantly influences saving behavior.

H03: Awareness of Digital Financial Services has no significant impact on saving behavior of respondents

H3: Awareness of Digital Financial Services has a significant impact on saving behavior of respondents

Awareness of Digital Financial Services: The coefficient for awareness of digital financial services is 0.017, with a standard error of 0.034 and a t-value of 0.514. The associated p-value is 0.607, which is greater than 0.05. Therefore, we fail to reject the null hypothesis (H05), suggesting that awareness of digital financial services does not significantly influence saving behavior.

H04: Practical Knowledge has no significant impact on saving behavior of respondents

H4: Practical Knowledge has a significant impact on saving behavior of respondents

Practical Knowledge: The coefficient for practical knowledge is 0.068, with a standard error of 0.037 and a t-value of 1.839. The associated p-value is 0.047, which is less than 0.05. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis (H06), indicating that practical knowledge significantly influences saving behavior.

H05: Awareness of Financial Risks has no significant impact on saving behavior of respondents

H5: Awareness of Financial Risks has a significant impact on saving behavior of respondents

Awareness of Financial Risks: The coefficient for awareness of financial risks is 0.079, with a standard error of 0.037 and a t-value of 2.139. The associated p-value is 0.033, which is less than 0.05. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis (H07), suggesting that awareness of financial risks significantly influences saving behavior.

# V. CONCLUSION

This study underscores the importance of understanding the multifaceted determinants of saving behavior in fostering financial well-being and resilience among individuals in Haryana, India. The findings highlight the significant influence of awareness of positive financial attitudes, decision-making skills, practical knowledge, and awareness of financial risks on saving behavior, while also revealing the limited impact of awareness of digital financial services. These insights offer valuable guidance for stakeholders seeking to design targeted interventions and policies aimed at promoting financial inclusion, enhancing financial literacy, and fostering responsible saving habits among diverse populations. By addressing the identified factors and barriers, policymakers, financial institutions, and educators can contribute to building more inclusive and sustainable financial systems that benefit individuals, households, and economies alike.

## References

- 1. Beck, T., & Cull, R. (2014). Banking in Africa: The Impact of Financial Sector Reform Since Independence. World Bank Publications.
- 2. CGAP. (2016). Financial Inclusion: What Does It Mean for Microfinance?. CGAP Focus Note, 31.
- Cole, S., Sampson, T., & Zia, B. (2019). Prices or Knowledge? What Drives Demand for Financial Services in Emerging Markets? The Review of Financial Studies, 32(9), 3466-3499.
- 4. Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Klapper, L. (2012). Financial Inclusion in Africa: An Overview. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper, (6088).
- 5. Honohan, P. (2008). Cross-country Variation in Household Access to Financial Services. Journal of Banking & Finance, 32(11), 2493-2500.
- 6. Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2011). Financial literacy around the world: An overview. Journal of Pension Economics & Finance, 10(4), 497-508.
- Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2014). The Economic Importance of Financial Literacy: Theory and Evidence. Journal of Economic Literature, 52(1), 5-44.
- 8. OECD. (2019). Enhancing Financial Education Through Digital Innovation. OECD Publishing.
- 9. World Bank. (2014). Global Financial Development Report 2014: Financial Inclusion. World Bank Publications.