KSHI: Keyword Search using SQL in Database using Hybrid Indexing to Improve Efficiency
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Abstract: In today’s emerging world, internet is widely used in every aspect of day to day life. Any government, non-government, corporate firms provide their services through internet. Internet is mainly used for searching, surfing and data collection. For this purpose different websites like Wikipedia, cisco, microsoft, ieee xplore, jstor, springer and many are mainly used. These websites contain large amount of data in the form of files and articles and they have their local database search tools. Main problem with these tools is that they are very slow and output result rate is very low, especially in the case of slow connections like our internet via dial-up connection, on smart phones, 2G wireless internet dongles. This makes the task of surfing and searching, time consuming and tedious for the users. And this might lead to discontinuation of the usage of the particular website. A data search algorithm from websites with Hybrid indexing technique is proposed named KSHI (Keyword Searching using Hybrid Indexing). Two indexes would be saved in the SQL database, which will contain keywords (Keyword index), and links (Link index). These SQL tables will keep the data in the form of a linked list. It will also maintain another table (Runtime table), which will keep the quick information. Speed of the search operation will be increased using the runtime table technique. So this approach will be very useful for fast searching.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Database is an organized collection of information that can easily access, managed and updated. Relational database is a collection of tables of data items that is generally organized as per relational model. As we all know relational database is nothing but a set of tables. Each table contains one or more data categories in columns. Each row contains a unique instance of data for the categories defined by the columns. The standard user and application program interface to a relational database is the structured query language (SQL). SQL statements are used both for interactive queries for information from a relational database and for gathering data for reports.

From a long time, the database searching has been doing only via the queries. The Relational DBMS provides its query language SQL that people have been using since inception of the RDBMS. The query language SQL has been based on the relational algebra. The relational algebra is what was defined by Tedd Codd as he was a mathematician. For a long time, it has proved to be the most efficient ways to search database. But then, due to the needs of the interoperations and increasing amount of the database, searching the database using the query has been a very difficult task. Moreover, while getting data from multiple different heterogeneous data sources, it has even become more complex query formation. Moreover, the amount of time required has been increased drastically. This has led the researchers to move towards the informal ways of database querying. Then, the keyword search algorithms for the relational database were formulated. In which, a novice user can search for the data it wants. He may not know the column name or the data structure. He may just type in the information he has to search for the information he is looking for. The input information is the related information for information they require. This gave good results initially and more researchers joined the army of the keyword searching of the databases.
II. RELATED LITERATURE REVIEW

Keyword search for relational database is there from a long time. It has mainly two approaches. First approach is using the relational algebra and using relational algebra try to formulate the SQL queries based on supply keywords. Another approach is using tree and graph structure. Feng Zao et.al. Proposed a BROAD [1] algorithm which uses tree and graph structure. This algorithm can be useful for XML and RDF databases.

In “Search-As-You-Type: Opportunities and Challenges [12], authors discusses the basic architecture of search-as-you-type. The client has a browser, using which a user can send requests to the server to retrieve results. Each keystroke of the user could invoke a query, which includes the current string the user has typed in. The browser sends the query to the server. The server tokenizes the query string, computes and returns to the user the best answers ranked by their relevancy to the query.

In the paper "Keyword Search in Databases: The Power of RDBMS”[2] the other approach based on the relational algebra and SQL was formulated. In this particular authors proposed a system that uses the tuple reduction techniques to provide faster speed and more relevant results. In most of the cases the keyword search algorithm returns many irrelevant results.

The DISCOVER [3], uses the two step process. First is generating the network and second is parsing the relevant data. It finds all the relevant networks of the candidate whose size and data bound using this technique. The drawback of this is time overhead and space complexity associated with this.

There are different approaches which uses combination of relational algebra and graph structure. The keyword search for relational database is proposed in BANKS [4]. The results are retrieved first and then results can be again filtered. This way the database can be browsed to search the data required. The algorithm is further extended to provide keyword data browsing like web browsing and then ranking the results.

Other approach discussed in "Keyword searching and browsing system over relational databases” [5], makes use of indexing. By using indexing search results appear fast but it is useful only when common keywords are searched. After indexing scoring and filtering is used to find most relevant records. Another approach discussed in ,”Efficient relational keyword search system” [6] makes use of ranking. Ranking is maintained using user feedback. It helps less for keywords which are not searched too frequently. The keyword search results were also affected by the functional dependency and the foreign key constraint. This is discussed in "Referenced attribute Functional Dependency Database for visualizing web relational tables” [7]. Authors provided the method to eliminate duplicates.

Coffman said that all keyword search algorithm focus on performance in "A Framework for Evaluating Database Keyword Search Strategies”. He found that most of the algorithms are not efficient when the real workload is given. He developed a platform to evaluate different strategies. Coffman [10] has also proposed an empirical model to evaluate the different algorithms. The main criteria are speed of searching, memory usage, and relevance.

In the above discussions, we’ve seen the different approaches, their improvement techniques and the evaluation framework to compare the algorithms. In "Supporting Search-As-You-Type Using SQL in Databases”[11], authors discussed the advanced
version of keyword search that provides search-as-you-type facility using SQL. Authors discussed a novel approach for fuzzy search also. Here indexing method is discussed which makes use of Inverted-index table and Prefix table.

**Inverted-index table:** Given a table T, they assign unique ids to the keywords in table T, following their alphabetical order. They create an inverted-index table IT with records in the form <kid, rid> where kid is the id of a keyword and rid is the id of a record that contains the keyword. Given a complete keyword, we can use the inverted-index table to find records with the keyword.[11]

**Prefix table:** Given a table T, for all prefixes of keywords in the table, we build a prefix table PT with records in the form <p, lkid, ukid> where p is a prefix of a keyword, lkid is the smallest id of those keywords in the table T having p as a prefix, and ukid is the largest id of those keywords having p as a prefix.[11]

Thus, given a prefix keyword w, we can use the prefix table to find the range of keywords with the prefix. The following query should be fired.

```
SELECT T.*  FROM  PT,IT,T
WHERE PT.prefix = “w” AND
PT.ukid ≥ IT.kid AND PT.lkid ≤ IT=T.kid AND
IT.rid = T.rid [11]
```

The SQL could first use the index on prefix to find the keyword range, and then compute the answers using the indexes on kid and rid. In above literature survey we have gone through different approaches for keyword search in relational database. The following section discusses a novel technique for keyword search in relational database.

**III. PROPOSED ALGORITHM**

Here we are discussing a new technique for keyword search in relational database which makes use of hybrid indexing technique. Hybrid indexing here means permanent indexing as well as run-time or temporary indexing. For indexing we are using link-based approach. The technique or algorithm is described below.

The algorithm (KSHI) has following steps:

1) User will input the first word of query.

*Example: data*

2) The system creates a Hybrid Search Index (temporary table) in the memory for that group number. The system will match and create a Hybrid Search Index

*Example: Group 2 in Hybrid Search Index in figure 2*

This table was created by selecting the complete group entities from the *Link reference index table* and *Keyword reference index table.*

3) It will wait the second keyword to be entered.

4) Till the time there is not second keyword entered, it will show the “All entries in the Hybrid Search Index” as the search results to the user.

Note: If table is heavily populated, then It will show only first 10 entries and show a next button to view next entities. This will reduce the search algorithm overhead created by preventing the search list overloading (Search list overloading means showing all of the search results at the same time).
5) When the second keyword will be entered, only matching search results would be listed.

6) If second keyword does not match any option, the request will be sent to the content search module, which will search the content for the new keyword.

7) If search result found, content search module returns true and handover all of the details to the index search module.

8) It will add the keyword and its link in the Keyword Reference Index and Link Reference Index.

9) In the meanwhile, the search result will be shown to the user.

10) If content search module return false, index search algorithm will show “no results found” to the user.

11) When user session will end, it will flush the temporary table, if not in use by any other user also.

![Algorithmic Flow of KSHI](image)

FIGURE 2: ALGORITHMIC FLOW OF KSHI

The algorithm proceeds as described above. Here we are giving the comparison between different existing indexing methods and our proposed indexing method.

The existing methods which use indexing methods, stores index on permanent basis. So there is wastage of memory. Here in our proposed indexing method we are using run-time index which is maintained temporary: as soon as user session ends it will remain in the memory for sometimes and then it is flushed out. So wastage of memory is less compared to other existing techniques.

### IV. RESULT AND ANALYSIS

For implementation of proposed algorithm we are going to use PHP with MySQL instances as laptop computers with 2.0 GHz Intel(R) Core(TM) i3-3110M CPU, 2.40 GHZ and 4.00 GB RAM having Windows 7 (64 bit) Operating system.

For implementation of the algorithm the database we have used is MySQL. It is real world database containing information about city zipcode, area code, country, state etc. of USA cities. It has over 45,000 records one can get this database from [http://zipsdb.com/](http://zipsdb.com/)
As shown in above figure, if we enter “cataw” in search box then the cities with their zipcodes are displayed which contains “cataw” as prefix. The time taken by this search is around 0.00106 ms.

The performance of the proposed algorithm may be measured on the basis of time complexity. Time complexity defines the response time for a user. Response time is defined as the time taken by the algorithm to search and give answers to the user.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. Of characters entered</th>
<th>Words</th>
<th>Time taken existing technique in ms</th>
<th>Time taken by proposed algo.(KSHI) in ms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>cat</td>
<td>0.8985471725</td>
<td>0.001492977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>cata</td>
<td>0.069954156</td>
<td>0.001060962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>cataw</td>
<td>0.064224403</td>
<td>0.000570058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proposed a new technique for keyword search in database that makes use of permanent indexing as well as temporary indexing. We focus on how to support keyword searching efficiently with less memory wastage. We have implemented the algorithm on large real dataset. By experiments we will see that the response time should be less as compared
to previous indexing techniques. The result and analysis will prove that our technique is more efficient compared to existing technique and it provides search result faster. So the response time should be less and efficiency is improved.
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